Quark model calculations of N to Roper resonance EM transition amplitudes

EM transition form factors

- Rigorous approaches underway:
	- Schwinger-Dyson Bethe-Salpeter studies
	- Lattice QCD
- Relativistic quark-model calculations
	- Most reliable use light-front dynamics to improve one-body current
		- Terent'ev, Weber, Dziembowski, Chung & Coester, Schlumpf, Aznauryan, Rome group, Julia-Diaz, Riska and Coester, Miller
		- Relativistic effects are large
			- Need to remove interaction dependence of boosts
			- Minimize effect of ignored two-body currents
		- Can also use point, instant forms

Giannini and Santopinto-2004

- Tiator et al. EPJ A (2004) **19**, s01, 55
- NR model using hyper-central CQM
	- $V(x) = -\frac{\tau}{x} + \alpha x$, $x = (\rho^2 + \lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
	- Hyperfine interaction, isospin-dependent terms
	- $-$ Fit τ & α and hyperfine strength to spectrum, use wave functions in a non-relativistic calculation of the EM transition form factors

MAID fit, π cloud, model

- $-$ No calculation of N π sign
- Note Ap ^½ does not cross zero

Julia-Diaz, Riska and Coester-2004

- Julia-Diaz, Riska and Coester, PRC **69** (035212) 2004
	- use simple wave functions depending on hyperspherical momentum $P^2 \sim p_{\rho}^2 + p_{\lambda}^2$ (perm. symmetric and Lorentz invariant)
		- Nucleon $\phi_0(P) = N (1 + P^2 / 4b^2)^{-a}$,
		- b and a: range and shape parameters
		- Roper $\phi_1(P)$ orthogonal, normalized, FT has a single node
	- Use point form, front form & instant form of relativistic kinematics to evaluate vector EM current
		- change a and b to fit nucleon elastic form factors separately for each form

Julia-Diaz, Riska and Coester-2004

- I.G. Aznauryan, PRC **76** (025212) 2007
	- Light-front relativistic quark model
		- Wave functions depend on the sum of the totallysymmetric invariant mass squared of the quarks, $\mathsf{M_{O}}^\mathsf{2}$ (expressed in light-cone coordinates

 $p^{\mu} = [p^+ = p_0 + p_3, p^- = (m^2 + p_T^2)/p^+, p_T]; p^2 = p^+ p^- - p_T^2$

- 3 denotes (spin) quantization axis, \mathbf{p}_{T} = (p_{1} , p_{2})
- Distribution of invariant momentum fractions $x_i = p_i^{\dagger}/P^{\dagger}$ (P = $\sum_i\bm{{\mathsf{p}}}_i$ for + and transverse components) can be measured in high-energy DIS and elastic scattering

- Relative four-momenta for three-body system (see Weber)
	- $k = (x_2 p_1 x_1 p_2)/(x_1+x_2)$ $K = (x_1+x_2) p_3 - x_3(p_1+p_2)$
	- Space-like, since $k^+ = k^+ = 0$ so $k^2 = -k_T^2$, $k^2 = -k_T^2$
	- In static limit ($|{\bf p}|< m$), $x_i \rightarrow m_q/m_N$ ~1/3
		- $-$ k ~ \mathbf{p}_0 , K ~ \mathbf{p}_λ (usual three-body Jacobi coordinates)
	- Volume element in momentum space is 6D

$$
d\Gamma = (dx_1/x_1) (dx_2/x_2) (dx_3/x_3) \delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3) dk_T^2 dK_T^2 / (16\pi^3)^2
$$

- Nucleon and Roper wave functions: $\phi_{\rm N} (M_0^2) \sim \exp(-M_0^2/6\alpha_{\rm HO}^2)$ $\phi_R(M_0^2) = N (\beta^2 - M_0^2) \phi_N(M_0^2)$
- Depend on totally symmetric invariant mass squared of three-body system

 M_0^2 = -k²(1-x₃)/(x₁x₂) – K²/[x₃(1-x₃)] + $\sum_i m_q^2/x_i$

- Normalized and orthogonal over six-dimensional phase-space volume
	- Parameters are quark mass (0.22 GeV) and α_{HO} = 0.38 GeV (fit to nucleon static properties)

• Resulting form factors are integrals over six-dimensional phase space of:

> (kinematic factors) $\phi_\text{\scriptsize{N}}(\text{\scriptsize{M}}_0{}^2)$ $\phi_\text{\scriptsize{R}}(\text{\scriptsize{M}}_0{}^{\prime 2})$ d Γ '

– Signs of Nπ decay amplitudes found using PCAC argument

Electro/photo-production amplitude signs

- Experiments measure interference of products of amplitudes $\mathsf{A}^\dagger_{\mathsf{X}\text{-}\gamma\mathsf{N}}$ $\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{X}\text{-}\mathsf{N}\pi}$ with nucleon Born term and/or each other
- Phase of either depends on sign conventions in N and X wave fns

• Phase of product does not!

Electro/photo-production amplitude signs…

- Photo- and electro-production amplitudes quoted in analyses are the products $A^{\dagger}_{X\text{-}\gamma N}$ $A_{X\text{-}N\pi}$ / $|A_{X\text{-}N\pi}|$
	- Phase of $A_{X-N\pi}$ not measurable in N π elastic scattering
	- Theorists must calculate $A_{X\rightarrow N\pi}$ with exactly the same X and N wave functions used to calculate $A_{X\rightarrow YN}$
	- We use ${}^{3}P_{0}$ model

Light-front calculations-Rome group-1997

- Cardarelli, Pace, Salme and Simula
	- Used CI wave functions and light-front techniques to evaluate transition amplitudes
		- Quarks have f_1 and $f_2(Q^2)$ form factors
			- $\kappa_{\rm u}$ = +0.085, $\kappa_{\rm d}$ = -0.153 fit to nucleon moments
			- f_{1q} linear combination of monopole and dipole
			- f_{2q}/κ linear combination of dipole and quadrupole
			- Different Λ^2 values for each flavor of quark and type of form factor
				- » 12 parameters (in addition to anomalous moments) fit to nucleon and pion elastic form factors

Light-front calculations-Rome group-1997

Work with Brad Keister

- Calculations of EM transition form factors from N to N*
	- Light-cone (relativistic) quark model fit to nucleon elastic form factors
	- Baryon wave functions found by solving a three-quark Hamiltonian
	- Calculate strong-decay signs using paircreation $(^{3}P_{0})$ model

Light-cone model of EM form factors

- Construct baryon wave functions in baryon CM frame in terms of free-particle lightfront spinors
	- Bakamjian-Thomas construction
- Evaluate matrix elements of one-body EM current using these wave functions
- Find helicity amplitudes for EM transitions in terms of reduced matrix elements

Light-front dynamics

- Light-front Hamiltonian dynamics
	- Constituents are treated as particles rather than fields
	- Certain combinations of boosts and rotations are independent of the interactions which govern quark dynamics
		- Simplifies calculations of matrix elements in which composite baryons recoil with large momenta
	- Use complete orthonormal set of basis states
		- Composed of three constituent quarks
		- Satisfy rotational covariance

Calculation scheme

- Bakamjian and Thomas scheme:
	- Three-body relativistic bound-state problem is solved for the wave functions of baryons with the assumption of three interacting constituent quarks
	- Wave functions used to calculate the matrix elements of one (and in principle, two, and three)-body electromagnetic current operators

Calculational details

- Expand in sets of free-particle states:
	- Evaluate I⁺ (EM) current matrix element by expanding baryon wave function in terms of light-front spinors for the quarks

 $\langle M'j; \tilde{\mathbf{P}}'\mu'|I^+(0)|Mj; \tilde{\mathbf{P}}\mu\rangle =$ $\tilde{H}\left((2\pi)^{-18} \int d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1^\prime \int d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2^\prime \int d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_3 \int d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1 \int d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2 \int d\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_3 \sum \langle M^\prime j^\prime ; \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^\prime \mu^\prime | \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1^\prime \mu_1^\prime \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2^\prime \mu_2^\prime \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_3^\prime \mu_3^\prime \rangle \right)$ $\times\langle\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1'\mu_1'\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2'\mu_2'\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_3'\mu_3'|I^+(0)|\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1\mu_1\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2\mu_2\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_3\mu_3\rangle\langle\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1\mu_1\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2\mu_2\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_3\mu_3|Mj;\tilde{\mathbf{P}}\mu\rangle.$

• Need baryon state vectors written in terms of wave functions

Calculational details…

– Expand in sets of free-particle states:

 $\langle \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1 \mu_1 \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2 \mu_2 \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_3 \mu_3 | M j; \tilde{\mathbf{P}} \mu \rangle =$

 $\left|\frac{\partial(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1,\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2,\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_3)}{\partial(\tilde{\mathbf{P}},\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2)}\right|^{-1/2}(2\pi)^3\delta(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1+\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2+\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_3-\tilde{\mathbf{P}})\langle\frac{1}{2}\bar{\mu}_1\frac{1}{2}\bar{\mu}_2|s_{12}\mu_{12}\rangle\langle s_{12}\mu_{12}\frac{1}{2}\bar{\mu}_3|s\mu_s\rangle$ $\times \langle l_{\rho}\mu_{\rho}l_{\lambda}\mu_{\lambda}|L\mu_{L}\rangle\langle L\mu_{L}s\mu_{s}|j\mu\rangle Y_{l_{\rho}\mu_{\rho}}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\rho})Y_{l_{\lambda}\mu_{\lambda}}(\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\lambda})\Phi(k_{\rho},K_{\lambda})$ $\times D_{\bar{\mu}_{1},\mu_{2}}^{(1/2) \dagger} [R_{cf}(k_{1})] D_{\bar{\mu}_{2},\mu_{2}}^{(1/2) \dagger} [R_{cf}(k_{2})] D_{\bar{\mu}_{2},\mu_{2}}^{(1/2) \dagger} [R_{cf}(k_{3})].$

Calculational details…

- Cluster expansion of electromagnetic current operator $I^{\mu}(x) = \sum_{j} I^{\mu}_{j}(x) + \sum_{j < k} I^{\mu}_{jk}(x) + \cdots$
- We evaluate only one-body matrix elements and assume struck quark has EM current of free Dirac particle

$$
\langle \tilde{\mathbf{p}}'\mu'|I^+(0)|\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\mu\rangle = F_{1q}(Q^2)\delta_{\mu'\mu} - i(\sigma_y)_{\mu'\mu}\frac{Q}{2m_i}F_{2q}(Q^2)
$$

• Result is a 6D integral that we evaluate using numerical techniques [quasi-random number (Sobol) sequences]

Light-cone model…

• Wave functions expanded in h.o. basis up to $N=6$ or 7 (kw)

- e.g. 50 components for N and Roper, 70 for $N(1535)S_{11}$

- Requires simultaneous calculation of strong-decay amplitudes
	- Calculate $N\pi$ sign using ${}^{3}P_{0}$ model using *identical* wave functions
- Fit quark EM form factors to nucleon EM form factors (moments and Q^2 dependence)
	- Similar to calculations performed by Rome group (Cardarelli, Pace, Salmė, Simula), but simpler F_{1q} , F_{2q}

Model of spectrum and wave functions

- Confinement:
	- Flux tubes, combined with adiabatic approx.
	- minimum length string: $V_B(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) = \sigma(I_1 + I_2 + I_3) = \sigma L_{\text{min}}$
	- linear at large q-junction separations
- Short-range interactions:
	- Ground-state spectrum suggests flavor-dependent shortrange (contact) interactions
	- Use OGE (other possibilities: OBE, instanton-induced interactions)

Wave functions

- Variational calculation in large HO basis (SC, N. Isgur)
	- String confinement, plus associated spin-orbit
	- Include OGE Coulomb, contact, tensor, spinorbit
	- Relativistic KE, relativistic corrections in potentials, e.g.

$$
\left(\frac{m_im_j}{E_iE_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon_{\rm cont}}\frac{8\pi}{3}\alpha_s(r_{ij})\frac{2}{3}\frac{\mathbf{S}_i\cdot\mathbf{S}_j}{m_im_j}\left[\frac{\sigma_{ij}^3}{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}e^{-\sigma_{ij}^2r_{ij}^2}\right]\left(\frac{m_im_j}{E_iE_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon_{\rm cont}}
$$

– Contact interaction smeared with Gaussian form factor, σ_{ii} depends on quark flavor (1.8 GeV for light quarks)

Proton electric form factor

Proton electric form factor

Roper resonance transverse amplitude

NR limit of light-front calculations?

– NR: turn off Jacobians, Melosh rotations, relativistic kinematics (not true NR limit!)

 $\langle \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1 \mu_1 \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2 \mu_2 \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_3 \mu_3 | M j; \tilde{\mathbf{P}} \mu \rangle =$ $(2\pi)^3\delta(\tilde{\bf p}_1+\tilde{\bf p}_2+\tilde{\bf p}_3-\tilde{\bf P})\langle \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\mu_1\tfrac{1}{2}\bar\mu_2|s_{12}\mu_{12}\rangle\langle s_{12}\mu_{12}\tfrac{1}{2}\bar\mu_3|s\mu_s\rangle$ $\times \langle l_{\rho}\mu_{\rho}l_{\lambda}\mu_{\lambda}\vert L\mu_{L}\rangle \langle L\mu_{L}s\mu_{s}\vert j\mu\rangle Y_{l_{\rho}\mu_{\rho}}(\hat{\bf k}_{\rho})Y_{l_{\lambda}\mu_{\lambda}}(\hat{\bf K}_{\lambda})\Phi(k_{\rho},K_{\lambda})$ $\times D_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{(1)}[R_{\sigma_1}R_{\sigma_2}^{(1/2)}]^{(1/2)}$

Roper resonance scalar amplitude

Roper resonance scalar amplitude

Roper resonance scalar amplitude

Simon Capstick, Florida State University EBAC Workshop, JLab 5/27/10

Rotational covariance

- States with higher J
	- Rotations are dynamical in light-front QM
	- It is possible to quantify the violation of rotational covariance by forming a linear combination of light-front spin matrix elements which should be zero
		- E.g. for Δ(1232) there is one such combination
			- Becomes comparable to A $P_{3/2}$, A $P_{1/2}$ only at higher Q^2
			- Calculation of sub-dominant amplitudes (E1+, S1+) believable at Q^2 below roughly 2 GeV²
		- Non-zero because calculation truncated at one-body currents

Rotational covariance…

- For states with J=5/2 there are three linear combinations which should be zero
	- For N5/2+(1680) these may not small at 1 GeV2
- Some authors claim to have a work around for $J=1/2$
	- Evaluate light-front matrix elements of other components of the EM current, take linear combinations to eliminate matrix elements which must be zero
	- But there is no free lunch for higher J!
		- If use other components of I, don't have minimal set of matrix elements which transform into each other under boosts

Conclusions/Outlook

- Relativistic calculations using light-front dynamics get sign of N to Roper A^p $_{\frac{1}{2}}$ to change
	- Crosses zero at lower Q^2 than amplitude extracted from data
	- Non-relativistic calculations (and light-front calculations with some relativistic effects turned off) do not see this
	- $-$ Must calculate $N\pi$ sign in model
	- $-$ Size and \mathbb{Q}^2 dependence quite sensitive to short-range interactions between quarks
- N to Roper $\mathsf{S}^p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ predicted a little too large, Q^2 dependence reasonable
- Neutron target amplitudes give new information
- To be believable, models should fit nucleon elastic form factors and other transition form factors!

Delta resonance transverse amplitude

$N(1535)S_{11}$ resonance transverse amplitude

 $N(1535)S_{11}$ resonance transverse amplitude

 $N(1535)S_{11}$ resonance scalar amplitude

 $N(1535)S_{11}$ resonance scalar amplitude

